arXiv:1211.3978v1 [math.NT] 16 Nov 2012

Rank 3 filtered (φ, N)-modules

Gerasimos Dousmanis

November 19, 2012

Abstract

We classify 3-dimensional semistable Frob-semisimple representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}$.

In this paper we classify 3-dimensional semistable Frob-semisimple representations of G_K where K is the unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree f. As in [1], this easily extends to the case of 3-dimensional semisimple representations of G_K for any finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, including the non-Frob-semisimple and Frob-scalar cases. The paper was written in June 2012 during the Thematic Program on Galois Representations at Fields Institute. We thank the Fields Institute for its hospitality and financial support.

Let p will be a fixed odd integer prime, $K_f = \mathbb{Q}_{p^f}$ the finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree f, and E a finite, large enough extension of K_f. When the degree of K_f plays no role we simply write K. We denote by σ_K the absolute Frobenius of K; we fix once and for all a distinguished embedding $K \overset{\tau_0}{\hookrightarrow} E$ and we let $\tau_i = \tau_0 \circ \sigma_K^i$ for all $i = 0, 1, ..., f-1$. We fix the f-tuple of embeddings $\mid \tau \mid := (\tau_0, \tau_1, ..., \tau_{f-1})$ and we denote $E^{|\tau|} := \prod_{\tau : K \hookrightarrow E} E$, with the embeddings ordered as above. The map $\nu : K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \to E^{|\tau|}$ with $\nu(x \otimes y) := (\tau_i(x)y)_{\tau_i}$ is a ring isomorphism and the ring automorphism $1_E \otimes \sigma : E \otimes K \to E \otimes K$ transforms via ν to the automorphism $\varphi : E^{|\tau|} \to E^{|\tau|}$ with $\varphi(x_0, x_1, ..., x_{f-1}) = (x_1, ..., x_{f-1}, x_0)$. Notice that φ^f acts trivially on $E^{|\tau|}$. We denote by $e_{\tau_i} = (0, ..., 1_i, ..., 0)$ the idempotent of $E^{|\tau|}$ where the 1 occurs in the τ_i-th coordinate, for each $i \in \{0, 1, ..., f-1\}$. For any $\vec{x} \in E^{|\tau|}$, we denote by $x(i)$ its i-th coordinate, by $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{x})$ the vector $\prod_{i=0}^{f-1} \varphi^i(\vec{x})$; we write $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{x})$ for the scalar $\prod_{i=0}^{f-1} x(i)$ such that $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{x}) = \prod_{i=0}^{f-1} x(i) \cdot \vec{1}$, and we define $v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{x})\right) := v_p(\prod_{i=0}^{f-1} x(i))$. For any matrix A with entries in $E^{|\tau|}$ we write $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(A) := A\varphi(A) \cdots \varphi^{f-1}(A)$, with φ acting on each entry of A.

1 Rank three weakly admissible filtered φ-modules over K with E-coefficients and distinct eigenvalues of frobenius

Let $\mathrm{MF}_{K,E}^{\varphi}$ be the category of filtered φ-modules over K with E-coefficients, and let $\mathrm{MF}_{K,E}^{\varphi,\mathrm{w.a.}}$ be the subcategory of weakly admissible filtered φ-submodules. Let (D, φ) be a three-dimensional object in $\mathrm{MF}_{K,E}^{\varphi}$. We let $D_i := e_{\tau_i} D_i$ and we define $\mathrm{Fil}^j D_i := e_{\tau_i} \mathrm{Fil}^j D$ for all i and j, and for each $i = 0, 1, ..., f-1$. We define the labeled Hodge-Tate weights $\mathrm{HT}\,(D_i)$ of D with respect to the embedding τ_i to be the multiset consisting of the opposites of the jumps of the filtration of D_i, and after twisting by some rank one weakly admissible filtered φ-module we may assume that $\mathrm{HT}\,(D_i) = \{0 = k_0(i) \leq k_1(i) \leq k_2(i)\}$ for all i. We say that the eigenvalues of frobenius of

(D,φ) are distinct if $\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}(\varphi)=\mathrm{diag}\left(\vec{a},\vec{b},\vec{c}\right)$ for some ordered basis $\underline{e}$ and some $\vec{a},\vec{b},\vec{c}\in\left(E^{\times}\right)^{f}$ with distinct $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}),\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b})$ and $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})$. This is equivalent to $\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}\left(\varphi^{f}\right)$ being diagonal with distinct diagonal entries of the form $\alpha\cdot\vec{1}$, where $\alpha\in E^{\times}$ (see Proposition 1.5). We classify rank 3 objects of $\mathrm{MF}_{K,E}^{\varphi}$ up to isomorphism and give a criterion for weak admissibility.

Proposition 1.1 *Any rank 3 filtered φ-module over K with E-coefficients, distinct eigenvalues of frobenius, and labeled Hodge-Tate weights $\mathrm{HT}(D_i)=\{0=k_0(i)\leq k_1(i)\leq k_2(i)\}$ for all i is isomorphic to a filtered φ-module (D,φ) with frobenius action defined by a matrix of the form*

$$\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}(\varphi)=\mathrm{diag}\left(\vec{a},\vec{b},\vec{c}\right)$$

for some ordered basis $\underline{e}$ of D and some $\vec{a},\vec{b},\vec{c}\in\left(E^{\times}\right)^{f}$ with distinct norms $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}),\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b})$ and $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})$, and filtration

$$\mathrm{Fil}^{j}(D)=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{f-1}\mathrm{Fil}^{j}(D_i),$$

where:

1. *If $\mathrm{HT}(D_i)=\{0=k_0(i)<k_1(i)<k_2(i)\}$, then*

$$\mathrm{Fil}^{j}D_i=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_2 & \text{if } j\leq 0,\\ e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+x_2(i)e_2\right)\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_1+x_2'(i)e_2\right) & \text{if } 1\leq j\leq k_1(i),\\ e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+x_1(i)e_1+x_2''(i)e_2\right) & \text{if } 1+k_1(i)\leq j\leq k_2(i),\\ 0 & \text{if } 1+k_2(i)\leq j,\end{cases}\tag{$\mathcal{F}_0$}$$

   with $x_1(i)\in E$, $x_2(i),x_2'(i)\in\{0,1\}$, and $x_2''(i)=x_2(i)+x_1(i)x_2'(i)$.

2. *If $\mathrm{HT}(D_i)=\{0=k_0(i)<k_1(i)=k_2(i)\}$ or $\{0=k_0(i)=k_1(i)<k_2(i)\}$, then*

$$\mathrm{Fil}^{j}D_i=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_2 & \text{if } j\leq 0,\\ e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+x_2(i)e_2\right)\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_1+x_2'(i)e_2\right) & \text{if } 1\leq j\leq k(i),\\ 0 & \text{if } j\geq 1+k(i),\end{cases}\tag{$\mathcal{F}_1$}$$

   with $x_2(i),x_2'(i)\in\{0,1\}$ and with $k(i)$ denoting the nonzero weight, or of the form

$$\mathrm{Fil}^{j}\left(e_{\tau_i}D\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_2 & \text{if } j\leq 0,\\ e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+x_1(i)e_1+x_2''(i)e_2\right) & \text{if } 1\leq j\leq k(i),\\ 0 & \text{if } j\geq 1+k(i),\end{cases}\tag{$\mathcal{F}_2$}$$

   with $x_1(i),x_2''(i)\in\{0,1\}$.

3. *Finally, if $\mathrm{HT}(D_i)=\{0\}$, then*

$$\mathrm{Fil}^{j}D_i=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_2 & \text{if } j\leq 0,\\ 0 & \text{if } j\geq 1.\end{cases}\tag{$\mathcal{F}_3$}$$

Let

$$I_0 := \{0, 1, ..., f-1\},$$

$$I_1 := \{i \in I_0 : \mathrm{HT}\,(D_i) = \{0 = k_0(i) < k_1(i) < k_2(i)\}\},$$

and

$I_2 := \{i \in I_0 : \mathrm{HT}\,(D_i) = \{0 = k_0(i) = k_1(i) < k_2(i)\}$ or $\mathrm{HT}\,(D_i) = \{0 = k_0(i) < k_1(i) = k_2(i)\}$, and the filtration of D_1 is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_1)\}$. In this case the nonzero weight is denoted by $k(i)$.

Finally, let

$I_3 := \{i \in I_0 : \mathrm{HT}\,(D_i) = \{0 = k_0(i) = k_1(i) < k_2(i)\}$ or $\mathrm{HT}\,(D_i) = \{0 = k_0(i) < k_1(i) = k_2(i)\}$, and the filtration of D_1 is given by formula $(\ \mathcal{F}_2)\}$.

Proposition 1.2 *A filtered φ-module (D, φ) as in Proposition* 1.1 *is weakly admissible if and only if*

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi\left(\vec{a}\right)\right) + v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\right) + v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right) = \sum_{i \in I_1}\left(k_1(i) + k_2(i)\right) + \sum_{i \in I_2} 2k(i) + \sum_{i \in I_3} k(i), \tag{1.1}$$

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi\left(\vec{a}\right)\right) \geq \sum_{\substack{i \in I_1 \text{ such that} \\ x_2(i)=0 \text{ and } x_1(i) \neq 0}} k_1(i) + \sum_{\substack{i \in I_1 \text{ such that} \\ x_1(i)=x_2(i)=0}} k_2(i) + \tag{1.2}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{i \in I_2 \text{ such that} \\ x_1(i)=0}} k(i) + \sum_{\substack{i \in I_3 \text{ such that} \\ x_1(i)=x_2''(i)=0}} k(i),$$

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\right) \geq \sum_{\substack{i \in I_1 \text{ such that} \\ x_2'(i)=0}} k_1(i) + \sum_{\substack{i \in I_2 \text{ such that} \\ x_2'(i)=0}} k(i), \tag{1.3}$$

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right) \geq 0, \tag{1.4}$$

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi\left(\vec{a}\right)\right) + v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\right) \geq \sum_{\substack{i \in I_1 \text{ such that} \\ x_2''(i) \neq 0}} k_1(i) + \sum_{\substack{i \in I_1 \text{ such that} \\ x_2''(i)=0 \text{ and } x_2'(i)=1}} k_2(i) + \tag{1.5}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{i \in I_1 \text{ such that} \\ x_2(i)=x_2'(i)=0}} \left(k_1(i) + k_2(i)\right) + \sum_{\substack{i \in I_2 \text{ such that } x_2'(i)=1 \text{ or} \\ x_2'(i)=0 \text{ and } x_2(i) \neq 0}} k(i) + \sum_{\substack{i \in I_2 \text{ such that} \\ x_2(i)=x_2'(i)=0}} 2k(i) + \sum_{\substack{i \in I_3 \text{ such that} \\ x_2''(i)=0}} k(i),$$

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi\left(\vec{a}\right)\right)+v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right)\geq\sum_{\substack{i\in I_1 \text{ such that}\\ x_1(i)\neq 0}}k_1(i)+\sum_{\substack{i\in I_1 \text{ such that}\\ x_1(i)=0}}k_2(i)+ \tag{1.6}$$

$$+\sum_{i\in I_2}k(i)+\sum_{\substack{i\in I_3 \text{ such that}\\ x_1(i)=0}}k(i),$$

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\right)+v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right)\geq\sum_{i\in I_1}k_1(i)+\sum_{i\in I_2}k(i). \tag{1.7}$$

The filtered φ-module is irreducible in $\mathrm{MF}^{\varphi,w.a}_{K_f,E}$ if and only if all inequalities (1.2)-(1.7) *are strict. Assuming that (D,φ) is weakly admissible,*

- *(a) The submodule D_0 is weakly admissible if and only if inequality* (1.2) *is equality.*
- *(b) The submodule D_1 is weakly admissible if and only if inequality* (1.3) *is equality.*
- *(c) The submodule D_2 is weakly admissible if and only if inequality* (1.4) *is equality.*
- *(d) The submodule D_{01} is weakly admissible if and only if inequality* (1.5) *is equality.*
- *(e) The submodule D_{02} is weakly admissible if and only if inequality* (1.6) *is equality.*
- *(f) The submodule D_{12} is weakly admissible if and only if inequality* (1.7) *is equality.*

We denote the filtered module of Proposition 1.1 by $(\mathcal{D}(\underline{a},\underline{x}))$, where $\underline{a}=\left(\vec{a},\vec{b},\vec{c}\right)$ and $\underline{x}=(\vec{x}_1,\vec{x}_2,\vec{x}_2',\vec{x}_2'')$.

Proposition 1.3 *We have $(\mathcal{D}(\underline{a},\underline{x}))\simeq\left(\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a_1},\underline{y}\right)\right)$, where $\underline{a_1}=\left(\vec{a}_1,\vec{b}_1,\vec{c}_1\right)$ and $\underline{y}=(\vec{y}_1,\vec{y}_2,\vec{y}_2',\vec{y}_2'')$ if and only if either*

1. $\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}),\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}),\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right)=\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}_1),\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}_1),\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}_1)\right)$, *and*
    - *(a) For all i such that the filtration of D_i is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_0$), either*
        - *i.* $x_2(i)=y_2(i)=x_2'(i)=y_2'(i)=1$, *and* $x_1(i)=y_1(i)$ *or*
        - *ii.* $x_2(i)=y_2(i)=0,\ x_2'(i)=y_2'(i)=1$, *and* $x_1(i)\neq 0$ *if and only if* $y_1(i)\neq 0$, *or*
        - *iii.* $x_2'(i)=y_2'(i)=0,\ x_2(i)=1$ *if and only if* $y_2(i)=1$, *and* $x_1(i)\neq 0$ *if and only if* $y_1(i)\neq 0$.
    - *(b) For all i such that the filtration of D_i is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_1$), $x_2'(i)=y_2'(i)$, and $x_2(i)=y_2(i)$.*
    - *(c) For all i such that the filtration of D_i is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_2$), $x_1(i)=y_1(i)$ and $x_2''(i)=y_2''(i)$.*
2. $\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}),\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}),\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right)=\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}_1),\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}_1),\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}_1)\right)$, *and*
    - *(a) For all i such that the filtration of D_i is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_0$), either*

        i. $x_2 = y_2 = x'_2 = y'_2 = 0,$ *and* $x_1 y_1 \neq 0,$ *or*
        ii. $x'_2 = y_2 = 0,\ x_2 = y'_2 = 1,$ *and* $y_1 x_1 \neq 0,$ *or*
        iii. $x_2 = y'_2 = 0,\ x'_2 = y_2 = 1,$ *and* $x_1 y_1 \neq 0.$

    (b) For all i *such that the filtration of* D_i *is given by formula* ($\mathcal{F}_1$), $x'_2(i) = y_2(i),$ *and* $y'_2(i) = x_2(i).$

    (c) For all i *such that the filtration of* D_i *is given by formula* ($\mathcal{F}_2$), $x_1(i) = y_1(i) = 1$ *and* $x''_2(i) = y''_2(i).$

3. $\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right) = \left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}_1), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}_1), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}_1)\right)$, *and*

    (a) For all i *such that the filtration of* D_i *is given by formula* ($\mathcal{F}_0$), *either*
        i. $x'_2(i) = y_2(i) = 0,\ x_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 1,$ *and* $x_1(i) y_1(i) \neq 0,$ *or*
        ii. $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 1,$ *and* $x_1(i) y_1(i) + x_1(i) + 1 = 0.$

    (b) For all i *such that the filtration of* D_i *is given by formula* ($\mathcal{F}_1$), $x_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 1,$ *and* $x'_2(i) = 1$ *if and only if* $y_2(i) = 1.$

    (c) For all i *such that the filtration of* D_i *is given by formula* ($\mathcal{F}_2$), $x_1(i) = y''_2(i) = 1$ *and* $x''_2(i) = 1$ *if and only if* $y_1(i) = 1.$

4. $\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right) = \left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}_1), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}_1), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}_1)\right)$, *and*

    (a) For all i *such that the filtration of* D_i *is given by formula* ($\mathcal{F}_0$), *either*
        i. $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = y'_2(i) = x'_2(i) = 1,$ *and* $x_1(i) + y_1(i) + 1 = 0,$ *or*
        ii. $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 0,\ x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 1,$ *and* $x_1(i) \neq 0$ *if and only if* $y_1(i) \neq 0.$

    (b) For all i *such that the filtration of* D_i *is given by formula* ($\mathcal{F}_1$), $x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 1$ *and* $x_2(i) = y_2(i).$

    (c) For all i *such that the filtration of* D_i *is given by formula* ($\mathcal{F}_2$), $x''_2(i) = y_1(i)$ *and* $x_1(i) = y''_2(i).$

5. $\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right) = \left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}_1), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}_1), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}_1)\right)$, *and*

    (a) For all i *such that the filtration of* D_i *is given by formula* ($\mathcal{F}_0$), *either*
        i. $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 1,\ x_1(i) \neq 0,$ *and* $x_1(i)\,(y_1(i) + 1) + y_1(i) = 0,$ *or*
        ii. $x_1(i) = y_1(i) = 0,$ *and* $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 1,$ *or*
        iii. $x_1(i) = y_1(i) = x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 0,$ *and* $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1,$ *or*
        iv. $x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 0,\ x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1,$ *and* $x_1(i) y_1(i) \neq 0.$

    (b) For all i *such that the filtration of* D_i *is given by formula* ($\mathcal{F}_1$), $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1$ *and* $y'_2(i) = x'_2(i).$

    (c) For all i *such that the filtration of* D_i *is given by formula* ($\mathcal{F}_2$), $x''_2(i) = y''_2(i) = 1$ *and* $x_1(i) = y_1(i).$

6. $\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right) = \left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}_1), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}_1), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}_1)\right)$, *and*

(a) *For all i such that the filtration of D_i is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_0$), either*

   i. $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = x_2'(i) = y_2'(i) = 1,$ *and* $y_1(i)\,(x_1(i)+1)+1 = 0,$ *or*
   ii. $x_2'(i) = y_2(i) = 1,\ x_2(i) = y_2'(i) = 0,$ *and* $x_1(i)y_1(i) \neq 0.$

(b) *For all i such that the filtration of D_i is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_1$), $x_2'(i) = y_2(i) = 1$ and $y_2'(i) = x_2(i)$.*

(c) *For all i such that the filtration of D_i is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_2$), $x_2''(i) = y_1(i) = 1$ and $x_1(i) = y_2''(i)$.*

Proposition 1.4 *Let (D, φ, N) be a filtered (φ, N)-module over K with E coefficients and distinct eigenvalues of frobenius. There exists some ordered bases $\underline{e}$ of D over $E^{|\tau|}$ such that $\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}(\varphi) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}\right)$ with distinct $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}), \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})$ and filtration as in Proposition 1.1. The matrix $[N]_{\underline{e}}$ of the monodromy operator with respect to the basis $\underline{e}$ has one of the following forms with* *at most* *two nonzero entries:*

1.
$$[N]_{\underline{e}} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{12} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{23} \\ \vec{a}_{31} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \end{pmatrix},$$

   or

2.
$$[N]_{\underline{e}} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} & \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{13} \\ \vec{a}_{21} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{32} & \vec{0} \end{pmatrix},$$

   or

3.
$$[N]_{\underline{e}} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{12} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{23} \\ \vec{a}_{31} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\vec{a}_{12} = a_{12}\left(1, \frac{b(0)}{pa(0)}, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)}{p^2a(0)\,a(1)}, \cdots, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}{p^{f-1}a(0)\,a(1)\cdots a(f-2)}\right) \textit{ for some } a_{12}\in E,$$
$$\vec{a}_{13} = a_{13}\left(1, \frac{c(0)}{pa(0)}, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)}{p^2a(0)\,a(1)}, \cdots, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}{p^{f-1}a(0)\,a(1)\cdots a(f-2)}\right) \textit{ for some } a_{13}\in E,$$
$$\vec{a}_{21} = a_{21}\left(1, \frac{a(0)}{pb(0)}, \frac{a(0)\,a(1)}{p^2b(0)\,b(1)}, \cdots, \frac{a(0)\,a(1)\cdots a(f-2)}{p^{f-1}b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}\right) \textit{ for some } a_{21}\in E,$$
$$\vec{a}_{23} = a_{23}\left(1, \frac{c(0)}{pb(0)}, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)}{p^2b(0)\,b(1)}, \cdots, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}{p^{f-1}b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}\right) \textit{ for some } a_{23}\in E,$$
$$\vec{a}_{31} = a_{31}\left(1, \frac{a(0)}{pc(0)}, \frac{a(0)\,a(1)}{p^2c(0)\,c(1)}, \cdots, \frac{a(0)\,a(1)\cdots a(f-2)}{p^{f-1}c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}\right) \textit{ for some } a_{31}\in E,$$
$$\vec{a}_{32} = a_{32}\left(1, \frac{b(0)}{pc(0)}, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)}{p^2c(0)\,c(1)}, \cdots, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}{p^{f-1}c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}\right) \textit{ for some } a_{32}\in E.$$

If $a_{12}\neq 0$ *then* $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})$, *if* $a_{31}\neq 0$ *then* $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})$ *and if* $a_{23}\neq 0$ *then* $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})$, *if* $a_{13}\neq 0$ *then* $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})$, *if* $a_{32}\neq 0$ *then* $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})$, *and if* $a_{21}\neq 0$ *then* $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})$.

Since the eigenvalues of frobenius are distinct, in all cases of Proposition 1.4, at most two of the entries of the matrix of $[N]_{\underline{e}}$ are nonzero.

1.1 Proof of the propositions

The map φ^f is $E^{|\tau|}$-linear, D_i is 3-dimensional over E and D_i is φ^f-stable for all i. By Jordan decomposition, for each $i = 0, 1, ..., f-1$, there exists an ordered basis $\underline{e}(i) = (e_0(i), e_1(i), e_2(i))$ of D_i over E such that $\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}^i}\left(\varphi^f\right)$ has one of the following forms:

$$\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}^i}\left(\varphi^f\right) = \mathrm{diag}\left(a_{11}(i), a_{22}(i), a_{33}(i)\right)$$

for some $a^i_{jj}\in E^\times$, or

$$\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}^i}\left(\varphi^f\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i) & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a_{11}(i) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}(i) \end{pmatrix}$$

for some distinct $a_{11}(i), a_{33}(i)\in E^\times$, or

$$\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}^i}\left(\varphi^f\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i) & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a_{11}(i) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & a_{11}(i) \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $a_{11}(i)\in E^\times$. The E-linear map $\varphi : D_i \to D_{i+1}$ is an isomorphism and $\{a_{11}(i), a_{22}(i), a_{33}(i)\} = \{a_{11}(i+1), a_{22}(i+1), a_{33}(i+1)\}$ for all i. Permuting the basis elements of the D_i we may assume that $a_{11} := a_{11}(i)$, $a_{22} := a_{22}(i)$ and $a_{22} := a_{33}(i)$ for all i. Moreover, if $\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{\eta}^i}\left(\varphi^f\right)$ has one of the types above for some i then $\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{\eta}^i}\left(\varphi^f\right)$ has the same type for all i. For the ordered basis

$\underline{e} = (e_0, e_1, e_2)$, where $e_j := \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} e_j(i)$, the shape of $\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}\left(\varphi^f\right)$ is of the forms: either

$$\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}\left(\varphi^f\right) = \mathrm{diag}\left(a_{11}\cdot\vec{1}, a_{22}\cdot\vec{1}, a_{33}\cdot\vec{1}\right) \tag{1}$$

or

$$\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}\left(\varphi^f\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}\cdot\vec{1} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{1} & a_{11}\cdot\vec{1} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{0} & a_{33}\cdot\vec{1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

or

$$\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}\left(\varphi^f\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}\cdot\vec{1} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{1} & a_{11}\cdot\vec{1} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{1} & a_{11}\cdot\vec{1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

Proposition 1.5 *A rank 3 filtered φ-module (D, φ) over K with E-coefficients has distinct eigenvalues of frobenius if and only if there exists an ordered basis $\underline{e}$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}\left(\varphi^f\right) = \mathrm{diag}\left(a_{11}\cdot\vec{1}, a_{22}\cdot\vec{1}, a_{33}\cdot\vec{1}\right)$$

for some distinct $a_{jj} \in E^{\times}$.

Proof. Let $P := \mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}(\varphi) = (P_0, P_1, ..., P_{f-1})$ and $Q := \mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}\left(\varphi^f\right) = (Q_0, Q_1, ..., Q_{f-1})$. Since $Q = \mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(P)$ and $\varphi^f(P) = P$, we have $Q = P\varphi(Q)P^{-1}$ and $Q_i = P_i Q_{i+1} P_i^{-1}$ for all $i = 1, 2, ..., f$. Since $Q_i = Q_{i+1} = \mathrm{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33})$ for all i, we have $Q_i P_i = P_i Q_i$, and since the a_{jj} are distinct, a direct computation shows that P_i is diagonal for all i. The proposition follows since $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}) = a_{11}\cdot\vec{1}$, $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}) = a_{22}\cdot\vec{1}$, $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}) = a_{33}\cdot\vec{1}$, and the a_{jj} are distinct. The other direction is trivial. ■

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Let $\underline{e} = (e_0, e_1, e_2)$ be an ordered basis of D such that $\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}\left(\varphi^f\right)$ is diagonal, and fix some $i \in \{0, 1, ..., f-1\}$. Assume that the labeled Hodge-Tate weights of D with respect to the embedding τ_i are distinct. Then the filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^j(D_i) := e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j(D)$ of $D_i := e_{\tau_i}D$ has the form

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j D_i = \begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}D & \text{if } j \leq 0, \\ e_{\tau_i}D_2 & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq k_1(i), \\ e_{\tau_i}D_1 & \text{if } 1 + k_1 \leq j \leq k_2(i) \\ 0 & \text{if } j \geq 1 + k_2(i), \end{cases}$$

where

$$e_{\tau_i}D_2 = e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(\vec{u}^i_0 e_0 + \vec{u}^i_1 e_1 + \vec{u}^i_2 e_2\right) \oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(\vec{v}^i_0 e_0 + \vec{v}^i_1 e_1 + \vec{v}^i_2 e_2\right),$$

with $\vec{u}^i_j, \vec{v}^i_j \in E^{|\tau|}$. The vectors $e_{\tau_i}\left(\vec{u}^i_0 e_0 + \vec{u}^i_1 e_1 + \vec{u}^i_2 e_2\right)$ and $e_{\tau_i}\left(\vec{v}^i_0 e_0 + \vec{v}^i_1 e_1 + \vec{v}^i_2 e_2\right)$ are linearly independent over E and for simplicity we write $\vec{u}_j := \vec{u}^i_j$ and $\vec{v}_j = \vec{v}^i_j$. The space $e_{\tau_i}D_1$ is some 1-dimensional subspace of $e_{\tau_i}D_2$. Applying an automorphism of (D, φ) which permutes the basis elements, if necessary, we may assume that the i-th coordinate $v_0(i)$ of $\vec{v}_0$ is nonzero. Then

$$e_{\tau_i}D_2 = e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(u(i) - u_0(i)v_0(i)^{-1}v(i)\right) \oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}v_0(i)^{-1}v(i).$$

Since $e_{\tau_i}D_2$ is 2-dimensional over E, we have $u_1(i) - u_0(i)\frac{v_1(i)}{v_0(i)} \neq 0$ or $u_2(i) - u_0(i)\frac{v_2(i)}{v_0(i)} \neq 0$. Applying the automorphism of (D, φ) which permutes the basis elements e_1 and e_2 and fixes e_0, if necessary, we may assume that $r(i) := u_1(i) - u_0(i)\frac{v_1(i)}{v_0(i)} \neq 0$. Let

$$w(i) := e_1 + \frac{u_0(i)v_2(i) - v_0(i)u_2(i)}{u_0(i)v_1(i) - u_1(i)v_0(i)} e_2,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\tau_i}D_2 &= e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}r(i)^{-1}\left(u(i) - u_0(i)v_0(i)^{-1}v(i)\right) \oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}v_0(i)^{-1}v(i) \\ &= e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}w(i) \oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}v_0(i)^{-1}v(i) = e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}w(i) \oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(v_0(i)^{-1}v(i) - v_1(i)v_0(i)^{-1}w(i)\right) \\ &= e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_1 + \frac{u_0(i)v_2(i) - v_0(i)u_2(i)}{u_0(i)v_1(i) - u_1(i)v_0(i)}e_2\right) \oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0 + \frac{u_2(i)v_1(i) - u_1(i)v_2(i)}{u_0(i)v_1(i) - u_1(i)v_0(i)}e_2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$y_2(i) = \frac{u_2(i)v_1(i) - u_1(i)v_2(i)}{u_0(i)v_1(i) - u_1(i)v_0(i)} \text{ and } y_2'(i) = \frac{u_0(i)v_2(i) - v_0(i)u_2(i)}{u_0(i)v_1(i) - u_1(i)v_0(i)}.$$

We have $e_{\tau_i}D_2 = e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right) \oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_1 + y_2'(i)e_2\right)$, and let

$$e_{\tau_i}D_1 = e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(\lambda(i)e_0 + \mu(i)e_1 + \left(\lambda(i)y_2(i) + \mu(i)y_2'(i)\right)e_2\right)$$

for some $\lambda(i), \mu(i) \in E$ with $(\lambda(i), \mu(i)) \neq (0,0)$. If $\lambda(i) \neq 0$, we let $x_1(i) = \frac{\mu(i)}{\lambda(i)}$, $x_2(i) = y_2(i)$ and $x_2'(i) = y_2'(i)$, otherwise we apply the automorphism of (D, φ) which permutes e_0 with e_1 and fixes e_2. Then

$$e_{\tau_i}D_2 = e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0 + x_2(i)e_2\right) \oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_1 + x_2'(i)e_2\right),$$

where $x_2(i) = y_2'(i)$ and $x_2' = y_2$,

$$e_{\tau_i}D_1 = e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0 + \frac{\lambda(i)}{\mu(i)}e_1 + \left(\frac{\lambda(i)}{\mu(i)}x_2'(i) + x_2(i)\right)e_2\right)$$

and we let $x_1(i) = \frac{\lambda(i)}{\mu(i)}$. If $x_2'(i) \neq 0$, applying the automorphism which maps e_2 to $\left(x_2'(i)\right)^{-1} \cdot \vec{1} \cdot e_2$ and fixes e_0 and e_1 we may assume that $x_2'(i) = 1$. Similarly, if $x_2(i) \neq 0$, applying the automorphism of (D, φ) which maps e_0 to $x_2(i) \cdot \vec{1} \cdot e_0$ and fixes e_1 and e_2, we may assume that $x_2(i) = 1$. The matrix of φ remains diagonal after applying each of the automorphisms of (D, φ) above, and its eigenvalues remain distinct. This concludes the proof of the first part of the proposition in the case of thee distinct labeled Hodge-Tate weights with respect to the embedding τ_i. The proofs for the cases of two or three equal Hodge-Tate weights are special cases of the proof above. ■

Proof of Proposition 1.2. If $D^* = E^{|\tau|}\left(\vec{z}_0 e_0 + \vec{z}_1 e_1 + \vec{z}_2 e_2\right)$ is a rank one φ-stable submodule, prove that if $\vec{z}_r \neq \vec{0}$ for some r, then $\vec{z}_j = 0$ for all $j \neq r$. Indeed, let $\vec{z}_0 \neq \vec{0}$ and let $z_0(i) \neq 0$ for some i. We may assume that $z_0(i) = 1$. Since D^* is φ-stable, $z_0(i) \neq 0$ for all i. We apply the linear map φ^f to $e_{\tau_i}D^*$ and we have

$$e_{\tau_i}\left(\alpha e_0 + \beta z_1(i)e_1 + \gamma z_2(i)e_2\right) = t e_{\tau_i}\left(e_0 + z_1(i)e_1 + z_2(i)e_2\right).$$

Since the α, β, γ are distinct this implies that $z_1(i) = z_2(i) = 0$ for all i. Hence the only rank one φ-stable submodules of D are the $D_s = E^{|\tau|}e_s$, $s = 0, 1, 2$. Let $D^* = E^{|\tau|}\left(\vec{z}_0 e_0 + \vec{z}_1 e_1 + \vec{z}_2 e_2\right) \oplus$

$E^{|\tau|}\left(\vec{w}_0e_0+\vec{w}_1e_1+\vec{w}_2e_2\right)$ be a rank two φ-stable submodule. Assume that $z_0\left(i\right)\neq 0$. If $w_0\left(i\right)\neq 0$, there is no loss to assume that $z_0(i)=w_0\left(i\right)=1$. Since D^* is φ-stable, applying φ^f we see that there exist $\lambda_j(i),\mu_j(i)\in E$ such that

$$\alpha e_0+\beta z_1(i)e_1+\gamma z_2(i)e_2=\lambda_1(i)\left(e_0+z_1(i)e_1+z_2(i)e_2\right)+\mu_1(i)\left(e_0+w_1(i)e_1+w_2(i)e_2\right),\quad(1.8)$$

$$\alpha e_0+\beta w_1(i)e_1+\gamma w_2(i)e_2=\lambda_2(i)\left(e_0+z_1(i)e_1+z_2(i)e_2\right)+\mu_2(i)\left(e_0+w_1(i)e_1+w_2(i)e_2\right).\quad(1.9)$$

These imply the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\lambda_1(i)-\beta\right)z_1(i)+\mu_1(i)w_1(i)=0, &(1.10)\\
&\left(\alpha-\mu_2(i)\right)z_1(i)+\left(\mu_2(i)-\beta\right)w_1(i)=0, &(1.11)\\
&\left(\lambda_1(i)-\gamma\right)z_2(i)+\mu_1(i)w_2(i)=0, &(1.12)\\
&\left(\alpha-\mu_2(i)\right)z_2(i)+\left(\mu_2(i)-\gamma\right)w_2(i)=0, &(1.13)\\
&\alpha=\lambda_1(i)+\mu_1(i)=\lambda_2(i)+\mu_2(i). &(1.14)
\end{aligned}$$

We have $(z_1(i),w_1(i))\neq(0,0)$ or $(z_2(i),w_2(i))\neq(0,0)$, and in the first case equations (1.10), (1.11) and (1.14) imply that

$$\det\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1(i)-\beta & \mu_1(i)\\ \alpha-\mu_2(i) & \mu_2(i)-\beta\end{pmatrix}=\det\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1(i)-\beta+\mu_1(i) & \mu_1(i)\\ \alpha-\beta & \mu_2(i)-\beta\end{pmatrix}=\left(\alpha-\beta\right)\left(\mu_2(i)-\mu_1(i)-\beta\right)=0.$$

Hence $\mu_1(i)-\mu_2(i)=b=\lambda_2(i)-\lambda_1(i)$. Subtracting equations (1.8) and (1.9) we get

$$\beta\left(z_1(i)-w_1(i)\right)e_1+\gamma\left(z_2(i)-w_2(i)\right)e_2=\beta\left(z_1(i)-w_1(i)\right)e_1+\beta\left(z_2(i)-w_2(i)\right)e_2.$$

Since $\beta\neq\gamma$, the latter implies that $z_2(i)=w_2(i)$. Then $z_1(i)\neq w_1(i)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_{\tau_i}D^*=e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+z_1(i)e_1+z_2(i)e_2\right)\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+w_1(i)e_1+z_2(i)e_2\right)=\\
&e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+z_1(i)e_1+z_2(i)e_2\right)\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1=e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+z_2(i)e_2\right)\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the eigenvalues of φ^f are distinct, the latter is easily seen to be φ-stable if and only if $z_2(i)=w_2(i)=0$. Arguing similarly for the remaining cases we see that the only rank two φ-stable submodules of D are the $D_{01}:=E^{|\tau|}e_0\oplus E^{|\tau|}e_1, D_{02}:=E^{|\tau|}e_0\oplus E^{|\tau|}e_2, D_{12}:=E^{|\tau|}e_1\oplus E^{|\tau|}e_2$. For any $E^{|\tau|}$ subspace D^* of D we have

$$t_H^E\left(D^*\right)=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\dim_E\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(D^*\right)/\mathrm{Fil}^{j+1}\left(D^*\right)\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{f-1}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\dim_E\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D^*\right)/\mathrm{Fil}^{j+1}\left(e_{\tau_i}D^*\right)\right).$$

(1) Assume that $\mathrm{HT}_{\tau_i}\left(e_{\tau_i}D\right)=\{0=k_0(i)<k_1(i)<k_2(i)\}$. Then the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}D$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_0)$. Since $\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_0\right)=e_{\tau_i}D_0\cap\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D\right)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_0\right)=\begin{cases}
e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0 \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\[2ex]
\left.\begin{cases}e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0 \text{ if } x_2(i)=0,\\ 0 \text{ if } x_2(i)=1,\end{cases}\right\} \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k_1(i),\\[2ex]
\left.\begin{cases}e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0 \text{ if } x_1(i)=x_2''(i)=0\\ 0 \text{ if } x_1(i)\neq 0 \text{ or } x_2''(i)\neq 0,\end{cases}\right\} \text{ if } 1+k_1\leq j\leq k_2,\\[2ex]
0\ \text{ if } j\geq 1+k_2,
\end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_0\right)=\begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } x_2(i)\neq 0, \\ k_1(i) \text{ if } x_2(i)=0 \text{ and } x_1(i)\neq 0, \\ k_2(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)=x_2(i)=0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$\operatorname{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_1\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1 \text{ if } j\leq 0, \\ \\ \left.\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1 \text{ if } x_2'(i)=0, \\ 0 \text{ if } x_2'(i)=1, \end{cases}\right\} \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k_1(i), \\ \\ 0 \text{ if } 1+k_1\leq j, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_1\right)=\begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } x_2'(i)=1, \\ k_1(i) \text{ if } x_2'(i)=0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_2\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_2 \text{ if } j\leq 0, \\ 0 \ \text{ if } 1\leq j, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(D_2\right)=0,$$

$$\operatorname{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{01}\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1 \text{ if } j\leq 0, \\ \\ \left.\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0-x_2(i)e_1\right) \text{ if } x_2'(i)=1, \\ e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1 \text{ if } x_2(i)=x_2'(i)=0, \\ e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1 \text{ if } x_2'(i)=0 \text{ and } x_2(i)\neq 0, \end{cases}\right\} \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k_1(i), \\ \\ \left.\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+x_1(i)e_1\right) \text{ if } x_2(i)+x_1x_2'(i)=0, \\ 0 \text{ if } x_2(i)+x_1(i)x_2'(i)\neq 0, \end{cases}\right\} \text{ if } 1+k_1\leq j\leq k_2(i), \\ \\ 0 \ \text{ if } j\geq 1+k_2(i), \end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{01}\right)=\begin{cases} k_1(i) \text{ if } x_2(i)+x_1(i)x_2'(i)\neq 0, \\ k_2(i) \text{ if } x_2(i)+x_1(i)x_2'(i)=0 \text{ and } x_2'(i)=1, \\ k_1(i)+k_2(i) \text{ if } x_2(i)=x_2'(i)=0, \end{cases}$$

$$\operatorname{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{02}\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_2 \text{ if } j\leq 0, \\ e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+x_2(i)e_2\right) \ \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k_1(i), \\ \\ \left.\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+x_2(i)e_2\right) \text{ if } x_1(i)=0, \\ 0 \text{ if } x_1(i)\neq 0, \end{cases}\right\} \text{ if } 1+k_1(i)\leq j\leq k_2(i), \\ \\ 0 \ \text{ if } j\geq 1+k_2(i), \end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{02}\right)=\begin{cases} k_1(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)\neq 0, \\ k_2(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)=0. \end{cases}$$

Finally,

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{12}\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1\oplus e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_2 \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_1+x_2'(i)e_2\right) \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k_1(i),\\ 0 \text{ if } j\geq 1+k_1(i).\end{cases}$$

Hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{12}\right)=k_1(i).$$

(2) Assume that the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}D$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_1)$. We have

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_0\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}D_0 \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ \\ \left\{\begin{array}{l} e_{\tau_i}D_0 \text{ if } x_2(i)=0,\\ 0 \text{ if } x_2(i)=1,\end{array}\right\} \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k(i),\\ \\ 0 \text{ if } j\geq 1+k(i),\end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_0\right)=\begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } x_2(i)=1,\\ k(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)=0.\end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_1\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}D_1 \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ \\ \left\{\begin{array}{l} e_{\tau_i}D_1 \text{ if } x_2'(i)=0,\\ 0 \text{ if } x_2'(i)=1,\end{array}\right\} \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k(i),\\ \\ 0 \text{ if } j\geq 1+k(i),\end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_1\right)=\begin{cases} k(i) \text{ if } x_2'(i)=0,\\ 0 \text{ if } x_2'(i)=1.\end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_2\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}D_1 \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ 0 \text{ if } j\geq 1,\end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_2\right)=0.$$

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{01}\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}D_{01} \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ \\ \left\{\begin{array}{l} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+x_2(i)e_1\right) \text{ if } x_2'(i)=1,\\ e_{\tau_i}D_{01} \text{ if } x_2(i)=x_2'(i)=0,\\ e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1 \text{ if } x_2'(i)=0 \text{ and } x_2(i)\neq 0,\end{array}\right\} \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k(i),\\ \\ 0 \text{ if } j\geq 1+k(i),\end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{01}\right)=\begin{cases} k(i) \text{ if } x_2'(i)=1 \text{ or } x_2'(i)=0 \text{ and } x_2(i)\neq 0,\\ 2k(i) \text{ if } x_2(i)=x_2'(i)=0.\end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{02}\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}D_{02} \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+x_2(i)e_2\right) \ \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k(i),\\ 0 \ \text{ if } j\geq 1+k(i),\end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{02}\right)=k(i).$$

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{12}\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}D_{12} \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_1+x_2'(i)e_2\right) \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k(i),\\ 0 \ \text{ if } j\geq 1+k(i),\end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{12}\right)=k(i).$$

(3) Assume that the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}D$ is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_2$). We have

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_0\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0 \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ \\ \left.\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_0 \text{ if } x_1(i)=x_2''(i)=0\\ 0 \text{ if } x_1(i)\neq 0 \text{ or } x_2''(i)=1,\end{cases}\right\} \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k(i),\\ \\ 0 \ \text{ if } j\geq 1+k(i),\end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_0\right)=\begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } x_1(i)=1 \text{ or } x_2''(i)=1,\\ k(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)=x_2''(i)=0.\end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_1\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_1 \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ 0 \ \text{ if } 1\leq j,\end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_2\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}e_2 \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ 0 \ \text{ if } 1\leq j,\end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_1\right)=t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_2\right)=0.$$

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{01}\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}D_{01} \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ \\ \left.\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+x_1(i)e_1\right) \text{ if } x_2''(i)=0,\\ 0 \text{ if } x_2''(i)=1,\end{cases}\right\} \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k(i),\\ \\ 0 \ \text{ if } j\geq 1+k(i),\end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{01}\right)=\begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } x_2''(i)=1,\\ k(i) \text{ if } x_2''(i)=0.\end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{02}\right)=\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}D_{02} \text{ if } j\leq 0,\\ \\ \left.\begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}E^{|\tau|}\left(e_0+x_2(i)e_2\right) \text{ if } x_1(i)=0,\\ 0 \text{ if } x_1(i)=1,\end{cases}\right\} \text{ if } 1\leq j\leq k(i),\\ \\ 0 \ \text{ if } j\geq 1+k(i),\end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{02}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } x_1(i)=1, \\ k(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)=0. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{12}\right) = \begin{cases} e_{\tau_i}D_{12} \text{ if } j\le 0, \\ 0 \ \text{ if } 1\le j, \end{cases}$$

$$t_H^E\left(e_{\tau_i}D_{12}\right)=0.$$

(4) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}D$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_3)$, then all the Hodge invariants are 0. For weak admissibility, we must have $t_N^E(D)=t_H^E(D)$ and $t_N^E(D^*)=t_H^E(D^*)$ for any φ-stable subspace D^* of D. Clearly

$$t_N^E(D)=v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})\right)+v_p(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}))+v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right)$$

and

$$t_H^E(D)=\sum_{i\in I_1}(k_1(i)+k_2(i))+\sum_{i\in I_2}2k(i)+\sum_{i\in I_3}k(i).$$

Also, $t_N^E(D_0)=v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})\right)$ and

$$t_H^E(D_0)=\sum_{i\in I_1}\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ if } x_2(i)\neq 0, \\ k_1(i) \text{ if } x_2(i)=0 \text{ and } x_1(i)\neq 0, \\ k_2(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)=x_2(i)=0 \end{array}\right\}+\sum_{i\in I_2}\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ if } x_2(i)=1, \\ k(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)=0 \end{array}\right\}+$$

$$+\sum_{i\in I}\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ if } x_1(i)=1 \text{ or } x_2''(i)=1, \\ k(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)=x_2''(i)=0 \end{array}\right\}.$$

Similarly, we must have

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\right)\geq\sum_{i\in I_1}\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ if } x_2'(i)=1, \\ k_1(i) \text{ if } x_2'(i)=0 \end{array}\right\}+\sum_{i\in I_2}\left\{\begin{array}{l} k(i) \text{ if } x_2'(i)=0, \\ 0 \text{ if } x_2'(i)=1 \end{array}\right\},$$

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right)\geq 0,$$

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})\right)+v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\right)\geq\sum_{i\in J_1}\left\{\begin{array}{l} k_1(i) \text{ if } x_2(i)+x_1(i)x_2'(i)\neq 0, \\ k_2(i) \text{ if } x_2(i)+x_1(i)x_2'(i)=0 \text{ and } x_2'(i)=1, \\ k_1(i)+k_2(i) \text{ if } x_2(i)=x_2'(i)=0 \end{array}\right\}+$$

$$+\sum_{i\in J_2}\left\{\begin{array}{l} k(i) \ \text{ if } x_2'(i)=1 \text{ or } x_2'(i)=0 \text{ and } x_2(i)\neq 0, \\ 2k(i) \text{ if } x_2(i)=x_2'(i)=0 \end{array}\right\}+\sum_{i\in J_3}\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ if } x_2''(i)=1, \\ k(i) \text{ if } x_2''(i)=0 \end{array}\right\},$$

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})\right)+v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right)\geq\sum_{i\in J_1}\left\{\begin{array}{l} k_1(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)\neq 0, \\ k_2(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)=0 \end{array}\right\}+\sum_{i\in J_2}k(i)+\sum_{i\in J_3}\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ if } x_1(i)=1, \\ k(i) \text{ if } x_1(i)=0 \end{array}\right\},$$

and

$$v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\right)+v_p\left(\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\right)\geq\sum_{i\in J_1}k_1(i)+\sum_{i\in J_2}k(i).$$

■

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Let $h:\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\to\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a_1},\underline{y}\right)$ an $E^{|\tau|}$-linear bijection. The map h is an isomorphism or filtered φ-modules if and only if $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right)=e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ for all i. Let $H:=[h]_{\underline{e}}^{\underline{e}_1}=\left(\vec{h}_{ij}\right)$. Since $h\varphi=\varphi_1 h$, we have $h\varphi^f=\varphi_1^f h$, and since φ^f and φ_1^f are $E^{|\tau|}$-linear,

$$H\cdot\mathrm{diag}\left(\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}),\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}),\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})\right)=\mathrm{diag}\left(\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}_1),\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}_1),\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}_1)\right)\cdot H,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{gathered}\left(\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a})-\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}_1)\right)\vec{h}_{11}=\vec{0},\ \left(\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a})-\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}_1)\right)\vec{h}_{21}=\vec{0},\ \left(\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a})-\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}_1)\right)\vec{h}_{31}=\vec{0},\\ \left(\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b})-\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}_1)\right)\vec{h}_{12}=\vec{0},\ \left(\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b})-\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}_1)\right)\vec{h}_{22}=\vec{0},\ \left(\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b})-\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}_1)\right)\vec{h}_{32}=\vec{0},\\ \left(\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})-\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}_1)\right)\vec{h}_{13}=\vec{0},\ \left(\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})-\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}_1)\right)\vec{h}_{23}=\vec{0},\ \left(\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})-\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}_1)\right)\vec{h}_{33}=\vec{0}.\end{gathered}\tag{1.15}$$

Clearly $\{\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}),\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}),\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})\}=\{\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}_1),\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}_1),\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}_1)\}$ and we have the following cases:

(1) If $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a})=\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b})=\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})=\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}_1)$. Since the eigenvalues of frobenius are distinct, equations (1.15) are equivalent to $\vec{h}_{ij}=\vec{0}$ for all $i\neq j$. We have $(he_0,he_1,he_2)=\left(\vec{h}_{11}e_0,\vec{h}_{22}e_1,\vec{h}_{33}e_2\right)$.

(a) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_0)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right)=e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0+y_1(i)e_1+y_2''(i)e_2\right)=E\left(h_{11}(i)e_0+x_1(i)h_{22}(i)e_1+x_2''(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right),\tag{1.16}$$

where $x_2''(i)=x_2(i)+x_1(i)x_2'(i)$ and $y_2''(i)=y_2(i)+y_1(i)y_2'(i)$, and

$$E\left(e_0+y_2(i)e_2\right)\oplus E\left(e_1+y_2'(i)e_2\right)=E\left(h_{11}(i)e_0+x_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right)\oplus E\left(h_{22}(i)e_1+x_2'(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right).\tag{1.17}$$

Equation (1.16) is equivalent to $y_1(i)h_{11}(i)e_1+y_2''(i)h_{11}(i)e_2=x_1(i)h_{22}(i)e_1+x_2''(i)h_{33}(i)e_2$ and the latter equivalent to

$$y_1(i)h_{11}(i)=x_1(i)h_{22}(i)\text{ and }y_2''(i)h_{11}(i)=x_2''(i)h_{33}(i).$$

Equation (1.17) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}h_{11}(i)e_0+x_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2&=\lambda_1\left(e_0+y_2(i)e_2\right),\\ \left(h_{22}(i)e_1+x_2'(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right)&=\lambda_2\left(e_1+y_2'(i)e_2\right),\end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in E$. Hence $\lambda_1=h_{11}(i)$, $\lambda_2=h_{22}(i)$, $h_{11}(i)y_2(i)=x_2(i)h_{33}(i)$ and $h_{22}(i)y_2'(i)=x_2'(i)h_{33}(i)$. We have

$$h_{11}(i)y_2(i)=x_2(i)h_{33}(i)\text{ and }h_{22}(i)y_2'(i)=x_2'(i)h_{33}(i),\tag{1.18}$$

$$y_1(i)h_{11}(i)=x_1(i)h_{22}(i)\text{ and }y_2''(i)h_{11}(i)=x_2''(i)h_{33}(i).\tag{1.19}$$

Since $x_2'(i), y_2'(i) \in \{0,1\}$, $h_{22}(i)y_2'(i) = x_2'(i)h_{33}(i)$ implies that $x_2'(i) = y_2'(i)$ and $h_{22}(i) = h_{33}(i)$ if $x_2'(i) = y_2'(i) = 1$. We have $h_{11}(i)y_2(i) = x_2(i)h_{33}(i)$, $h_{22}(i)y_2'(i) = x_2'(i)h_{33}(i),\ y_1(i)h_{11}(i) = x_1(i)h_{22}(i)$, and $y_2''(i)h_{11}(i) = x_2''(i)h_{33}(i)$.

(i) If $x_2'(i) = y_2'(i) = 1$. Then $h_{11}(i)y_2(i) = x_2(i)h_{33}(i),\ h_{22}(i) = h_{33}(i),\ y_1(i)h_{11}(i) = x_1(i)h_{22}(i)$, and $(y_1(i) + y_2(i))\, h_{11}(i) = (x_1(i) + x_2(i))\, h_{33}(i)$, or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} y_2(i)h_{11}(i) &= x_2(i)h_{22}(i) \\ y_1(i)h_{11}(i) &= x_1(i)h_{22}(i) \\ h_{22}(i) &= h_{33}(i). \end{aligned}$$

(ia) If $x_2(i) = 1$. Then $y_2(i) = 1$ and $h_{11}(i) = h_{22}(i),\ y_1(i) = x_1(i)$, and $h_{22}(i) = h_{33}(i)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$x_2(i) = x_2'(i) = y_2(i) = y_2'(i) = 1, \text{ and } y_1(i) = x_1(i).$$

(ib) If $x_2(i) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y_2(i)h_{11}(i) &= x_2(i)h_{22}(i), \\ y_1(i)h_{11}(i) &= x_1(i)h_{22}(i), \\ h_{22}(i) &= h_{33}(i), \end{aligned}$$

hence $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 0$ and $x_1(i) \neq 0$ if and only if $y_1(i) \neq 0$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$x_2'(i) = y_2'(i) = 1,\ x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 0, \text{ and } x_1(i) \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } y_1(i) \neq 0.$$

(ii) If $x_2'(i) = y_2'(i) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(i)h_{11}(i) &= x_1(i)h_{22}(i) \\ y_2(i)h_{11}(i) &= x_2(i)h_{33}(i). \end{aligned}$$

Then equations (1.18) , (1.19) are equivalent to $h_{11}(i)y_2(i) = x_2(i)h_{33}(i)$ and $y_1(i)h_{11}(i) = x_1(i)h_{22}(i)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to $x_2(i) = 1$ if and only if $y_2(i) = 1$ and $x_1(i) \neq 0$ if and only if $y_1(i) \neq 0$.

$$x_2'(i) = y_2'(i) = 0,\ (x_2(i) = 1 \text{ if and only if } y_2(i) = 1) \text{ and } (x_1(i) \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } y_1(i) \neq 0)\,.$$

Hence $e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)$ and $e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a_1},\underline{y}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if either
$x_2'(i) = y_2'(i) = 1,\ x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1$, and $y_1(i) = x_1(i)$ or
$x_2'(i) = y_2'(i) = 1,\ x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 0$, and $x_1(i) \neq 0$ if and only if $y_1(i) \neq 0$, or
$x_2'(i) = y_2'(i) = 0,\ x_2(i) = 1$ if and only if $y_2(i) = 1$, and $x_1(i) \neq 0$ if and only if $y_1(i) \neq 0$.

(b) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_1)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(e_1 + y_2'(i)e_2\right) = E\left(h_{11}(i)e_0 + x_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(h_{22}(i)e_1 + x_2'(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right)$$

and the latter equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} h_{11}(i)e_0 + x_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2 &= \lambda_1\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right), \\ \left(h_{22}(i)e_1 + x_2'(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right) &= \lambda_2\left(e_1 + y_2'(i)e_2\right), \end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in E$. Hence $\lambda_1 = h_{11}(i)$, $\lambda_1 y_2(i) = x_2(i)h_{33}(i)$, $\lambda_2 = h_{22}(i)$, and $\lambda_2 y'_2(i) = x'_2(i)h_{33}(i)$. These imply that $y_2(i)h_{11}(i) = x_2(i)h_{33}(i)$, and $h_{22}(i)y'_2(i) = x'_2(i)h_{33}(i)$, hence

$$h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$$

if and only if $x_2(i) \neq 0$ if and only if $y_2(i)$, and $x'_2(i) \neq 0$ if and only if $y'_2(i) \neq 0$. Since $x_2(i), y_2(i), x'_2(i), y'_2(i) \in \{0, 1\}$ this is equivalent to $x_2(i) = y_2(i)$ and $x'_2(i) = y'_2(i)$.

(c) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_2$). Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_1(i)e_1 + y''_2(i)e_2\right) = E\left(h_{11}(i)e_0 + x_1(i)h_{22}(i)e_1 + x''_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right),$$

which is in turn equivalent to $y_1(i)h_{11}(i) = x_1(i)h_{22}(i)$ and $y''_2(i)h_{11}(i) = x''_2(i)h_{33}(i)$. Hence $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ if and only $x_1(i) = y_1(i)$ and $x''_2(i) = y''_2(i)$.

(2) If $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}_1)$. In this case equations (1.15) imply that

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} & \vec{h}_{12} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{h}_{21} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{0} & \vec{h}_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $(he_0, he_1, he_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{h}_{21}e_1, & \vec{h}_{12}e_0, & \vec{h}_{33}e_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

(a) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_0$). Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_1(i)e_1 + y''_2(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x_1(i)h_{12}(i)e_0 + h_{21}(i)e_1 + x''_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right), \tag{1.20}$$

where $x''_2(i) = x_2(i) + x_1(i)x'_2(i)$ and $y''_2(i) = y_2(i) + y_1(i)y'_2(i)$, and

$$E\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(e_1 + y'_2(i)e_2\right) = E\left(h_{21}(i)e_1 + x_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(h_{12}(i)e_0 + x'_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right). \tag{1.21}$$

Equation (1.20) is equivalent to $h_{21}(i)e_1 + x''_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2 = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)e_1 + y''_2(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)e_2$ or equivalently

$$h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i) \text{ and } x''_2(i)h_{33}(i) = y''_2(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i).$$

Equation (1.21) is equivalent to $h_{21}(i)e_1 + x_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2 = \lambda_1\left(e_1 + y'_2(i)e_2\right)$ and $h_{12}(i)e_0 + x'_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2 = \lambda_2\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right)$, for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in E$. So $\lambda_1 = h_{21}(i)$, $\lambda_2 = h_{12}(i)$, $x_2(i)h_{33}(i) = h_{21}(i)y'_2(i)$, $x'_2(i)h_{33}(i) = h_{12}(i)y(i)_2$,

$h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$, $x''_2(i)h_{33}(i) = y''_2(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$.

(i) If $x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 0$. Then $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 0$ and $h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$. In this case, $e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right) \simeq e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a_1}, \underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 0$, and $x_1(i)y_1(i) \neq 0$.

(ii) If $x'_2(i) = 0$, $y'_2(i) = 1$. Then $y_2(i) = 0$, $x_1(i)x_2(i)y_1(i) \neq 0$, $h_{21}(i) = x_2(i)h_{33}(i)$, $h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$, and $x_2(i)h_{33}(i) = h_{21}(i)$, $h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$. Hence $e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right) \simeq e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a_1}, \underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $x_2(i) = x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 1$, $y_2 = 0$, $y_1(i)x_1(i) \neq 0$.

(iii) If $x'_2(i) = 1$, $y'_2(i) = 0$. Then $x_2(i) = 0$, $h_{33}(i) = h_{12}(i)y_2(i)$, $h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$, and we must have $x_1(i)y_1(i) \neq 0$ and $y_2(i) = 1$. Hence $e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right) \simeq e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a_1}, \underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $x'_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1$, $x_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 0$, $x_1(i)y_1(i) \neq 0$.

(iv) If $x_2'(i) = y_2'(i) = 0$, then $x_2(i) = 0,\ y_2(i) = 0$, and $h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$. In this case $e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right) \simeq e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a_1}, \underline{y}\right)$ if

$$x_2(i) = x_2'(i) = y_2(i) = y_2'(i) = 0, \text{ and } x_1(i)y_1(i) \neq 0.$$

(b) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_1)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(e_1 + y_2'(i)e_2\right) = E\left(h_{21}(i)e_1 + x_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(h_{12}(i)e_0 + x_2'(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right)$$

and the latter is equivalent to $h_{21}(i)e_1 + x_2(i)h_{33}(i)e_2 = \lambda_1\left(e_1 + y_2'(i)e_2\right)$ and $h_{12}(i)e_0 + x_2'(i)h_{33}(i)e_2 = \lambda_2\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right),$ for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in E$. Hence $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $x_2(i) = y_2'(i)$ and $x_2'(i) = y_2(i)$.

(c) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_2$). Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_1(i)e_1 + y_2''(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x_1(i)h_{12}(i)e_0 + h_{21}(i)e_1 + x_2''(i)h_{33}(i)e_2\right),$$

which is in turn equivalent to $h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$ and $x_2''(i)h_{33}(i) = y_2''(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$. Hence $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $x_1(i) = y_1(i) = 1$ and $x_2''(i) = y_2''(i)$.

(3) If $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}_1)$. In this case equations (1.15) imply that

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} & \vec{h}_{12} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{0} & \vec{h}_{23} \\ \vec{h}_{31} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $(he_0, he_1, he_2) = \left(\vec{h}_{31}e_2, \vec{h}_{12}e_0, \vec{h}_{23}e_1\right)$.

(a) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_0)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_1(i)e_1 + y_2''(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x_1(i)h_{12}(i)e_0 + x_2''(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 + h_{31}(i)e_2\right), \tag{1.22}$$

where $x_2''(i) = x_2(i) + x_1(i)x_2'(i)$ and $y_2''(i) = y_2(i) + y_1(i)y_2'(i)$, and

$$E\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(e_1 + y_2'(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x_2(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 + h_{31}(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(h_{12}(i)e_0 + x_2'(i)h_{23}(i)e_1\right). \tag{1.23}$$

Equation (1.22) is equivalent to $x_2''(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 + h_{31}(i)e_2 = y_1(i)x_1h_{12}(i)e_1 + y_2''(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)e_2$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} x_2''(i)h_{23}(i) &= y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i), \\ h_{31}(i) &= y_2''(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (1.23) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &x_2(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 + h_{31}(i)e_2 = \mu_1 e_1 + \mu_1 y_2'(i)e_2, \\ &h_{12}(i)e_0 + x_2'(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 = \lambda_2 e_0 + \mu_2 e_1 + \left(\mu_2 y_2'(i) + \lambda_2 y_2(i)\right)e_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in E$.We have $\lambda_2 = h_{12}(i),\ \mu_1 = x_2(i)h(i)_{23},\ \mu_2 = x'_2(i)h_{23}(i),\ x_2(i)h_{23}(i)y'_2(i) = h_{31}(i)$,

$x'_2(i)h_{23}(i)y'_2(i) + h_{12}(i)y_2(i) = 0,\ x''_2(i)h_{23}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i),\ h_{31}(i) = y''_2(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$ so $y'_2(i)x_2(i)h_{23}(i) = h_{31}(i)$, $h_{23}(i)y'_2(i)x'_2(i) + h_{12}(i)y_2(i) = 0$, $x''_2(i)h_{23}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$, and $h_{31}(i) = y''_2(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$.

(i) If $x'_2(i) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x_2(i)h_{23}(i) &= h_{31}(i) \\ y_2(i) &= 0 \\ x_2(i)h_{23}(i) &= y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i) \\ h_{31}(i) &= y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i) \\ x_1(i)x_2(i)y_1(i) &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

so we must have $x_2(i) = 1$, $y_1(i)x_1(i) \neq 0$, $h_{23}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$, and $h_{31}(i) = h_{23}(i)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$x'_2(i) = y_2(i) = 0,\ x_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 1,\ x_1(i)y_1(i) \neq 0.$$

(ii) If $x'_2(i) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y'_2(i)x_2(i)h_{23}(i) &= h_{31}(i) \\ h_{23}(i)y'_2(i)x'_2(i) + h_{12}(i)y_2(i) &= 0 \\ x''_2(i)h_{23}(i) &= y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i) \\ h_{31}(i) &= y''_2(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $y'_2(i) = x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{31}(i) &= h_{23}(i) \\ h_{23}(i) &= h_{12}(i) \\ \left(x_1(i) + 1\right)h_{23}(i) &= y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i) \\ h_{31}(i) &= \left(y_1(i) + 1\right)x_1(i)h_{12}(i), \end{aligned}$$

so we need $0 = \left(y_1(i) + 1\right)x_1(i) + y_2(i)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = x_2(i) = y_2(i) \neq 0, \text{ and } \left(y_1(i) + y_2(i)\right)x_1(i) + y_2(i)x_2(i) = 0.$$

Summary:
$x'_2(i) = y_2(i) = x_2(i) = 0,\ y'_2(i) = 1,\ x_1(i)y_1(i) \neq 0$, or
$x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1$, and $\left(y_1(i) + 1\right)x_1(i) + 1 = 0$.

(b) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_1)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(e_1 + y'_2(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x_2(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 + h_{31}(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(h_{12}(i)e_0 + x'_2(i)h_{23}(i)e_1\right)$$

which is in turn equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &x_2(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 + h_{31}(i)e_2 = \mu_1 e_1 + \mu_1 y'_2(i)e_2, \\ &h_{12}(i)e_0 + x'_2(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 = \lambda_2 e_0 + \mu_2 e_1 + \left(\mu_2 y'_2(i) + \lambda_2 y_2(i)\right)e_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in E$. We have $x_2(i)y_2'(i)h_{23}(i) = h_{31}(i)$, and $x_2'(i)h_{23}(i)y_2'(i) + h_{12}(i)y_2(i) = 0$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $x_2(i) = y_2'(i) = 1$ and $x_2'(i) = y_2(i)$.

(c) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_2$). Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to $E\left(e_0 + y_1(i)e_1 + y_2''(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x_1(i)h_{12}(i)e_0 + x_2''(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 + h_{31}(i)e_2\right),$ and the latter is equivalent to $x_2''(i)h_{23}(i) = y_1(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$, and $h_{31}(i) = y_2''(i)x_1(i)h_{12}(i)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $y_2''(i) = x_1(i) = 1$ and $x_2''(i) = y_1(i)$.

(4) If $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}_1)$ then

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{h}_{11} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{0} & \vec{h}_{23} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{h}_{32} & \vec{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(he_0, he_1, he_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{h}_{11}e_0, & \vec{h}_{32}e_2, & \vec{h}_{23}e_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_0)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_1(i)e_1 + y_2''(i)e_2\right) = E\left(h_{11}(i)e_0 + x_2''(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 + x_1(i)h_{32}(i)e_2\right), \tag{1.24}$$

where $x_2''(i) = x_2(i) + x_1(i)x_2'(i)$ and $y_2''(i) = y_2(i) + y_1(i)y_2'(i)$, and

$$E\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(e_1 + y_2'(i)e_2\right) = E\left(h_{11}(i)e_0 + x_2(i)h_{23}(i)e_1\right) \oplus E\left(x_2'(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 + h_{32}(i)e_2\right). \tag{1.25}$$

Equation (1.24) is equivalent to $x_2''(i)h_{32}(i)e_1 + x_1(i)h_{32}(i)e_2 = y_1(i)h_{11}(i)e_1 + y_2''(i)h_{11}(i)e_2$ or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} x_2''(i)h_{23}(i) &= y_1(i)h_{11}(i), \\ x_1(i)h_{32}(i) &= y_2''(i)h_{11}(i). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (1.25) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} h_{11}(i)e_0 + x_2(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 &= \lambda_1 e_0 + \mu_1 e_1 + \left(\mu_1 y_2'(i) + \lambda_1 y_2(i)\right)e_2, \\ x_2'(i)h_{23}(i)e_1 + h_{32}(i)e_2 &= \mu_2 e_1 + \mu_2 y_2'(i)e_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in E$. Then $x_2''(i)h_{23}(i) = y_1(i)h_{11}(i),\ x_1(i)h_{32}(i) = y_2''(i)h_{11}(i),\ y_2'(i)x_2(i)h_{23}(i) + y_2(i)h_{11}(i) = 0$, and $h_{32}(i) = y_2'(i)x_2'(i)h_{23}(i)$. Hence $y_2'(i) = x_2'(i) = 1$, $h_{23}(i) = h_{32}(i)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(x_1(i) + x_2(i)\right)h_{32}(i) &= y_1(i)h_{11}(i), \\ x_1(i)h_{32}(i) &= \left(y_1(i) + y_2(i)\right)h_{11}(i), \\ x_2(i)h_{32}(i) &= -y_2(i)h_{11}(i). \end{aligned}$$

if and only if $y_2'(i) = x_2'(i) = 1$, $h_{23}(i) = h_{32}(i)$, $x_1(i)h_{32}(i) = \left(y_1(i) + y_2(i)\right)h_{11}(i)$, and $x_2(i)h_{32}(i) = -y_2(i)h_{11}(i)$.

(i) If $x_2(i) = 1$ then $y_2(i) = 1$ and $x_1(i) + y_1(i) + 1 = 0,\ h_{32}(i) = -h_{11}(i)$. Then $h\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$y_2'(i) = x_2'(i) = 1,\ x_2(i)y_2(i) \neq 0,\ \text{and } x_1(i)y_2(i) + x_2(i)\left(y_1(i) + y_2(i)\right) = 0.$$

(ii) If $x_2(i)=0$, then $y_2'(i)=x_2'(i)=1,\ y_2(i)=0$, and

$$x_1(i)h_{32}(i)=y_1(i)h_{11}(i),$$

so we need $x_1(i)\neq 0$ if and only if $y_1(i)\neq 0$ and $h\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right)=\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$x_2(i)=y_2(i)=0,\ x_2'(i)=y_2'(i)=1,\ \text{and } x_1(i)\neq 0 \text{ if and only if } y_1(i)\neq 0.$$

(b) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_1)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right)=e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0+y_2(i)e_2\right)\oplus E\left(e_1+y_2'(i)e_2\right)=E\left(h_{11}(i)e_0+x_2(i)h_{23}(i)e_1\right)\oplus E\left(x_2'(i)h_{23}(i)e_1+h_{32}(i)e_2\right)$$

and this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&h_{11}(i)e_0+x_2(i)h_{23}(i)e_1=\lambda_1e_0+\mu_1e_1+\left(\mu_1y_2'(i)+\lambda_1y_2(i)\right)e_2,\\&x_2'(i)h_{23}(i)e_1+h_{32}(i)e_2=\mu_2e_1+\mu_2y_2'(i)e_2\end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda_i,\mu_i\in E$. We have $x_2(i)y_2'(i)h_{23}(i)+h_{11}(i)y_2(i)=0$, and $h_{32}(i)=x_2'(i)y_2'(i)h_{23}(i)$. Hence $h\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right)=\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $x_2'(i)=y_2'(i)=1$ and $x_2(i)=y_2(i)$.

(c) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_2$). Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right)=e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0+y_1(i)e_1+y_2''(i)e_2\right)=E\left(h_{11}(i)e_0+x_2''(i)h_{23}(i)e_1+x_1(i)h_{32}(i)e_2\right)$$

and this is equivalent to $x_2''(i)h_{23}(i)=y_1(i)h_{11}(i)$, and $x_1(i)h_{32}(i)=y_2''(i)h_{11}(i)$. Hence $h\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right)=\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $x_2''(i)=y_1(i)$ and $x_1(i)=y_2''(i)$.

(5) If $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})=\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})=\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})=\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}_1)$. Then

$$H=\begin{pmatrix}\vec{0}&\vec{0}&\vec{h}_{13}\\\vec{0}&\vec{h}_{22}&\vec{0}\\\vec{h}_{31}&\vec{0}&\vec{0}\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(he_0,he_1,he_2)=\begin{pmatrix}\vec{h}_{31}e_2,&\vec{h}_{22}e_1,&\vec{h}_{13}e_0\end{pmatrix}$$

(a) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_0)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right)=e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0+y_1(i)e_1+y_2''(i)e_2\right)=E\left(x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)e_0+x_1(i)h_{22}(i)e_1+h_{31}(i)e_2\right),\tag{1.26}$$

$$\text{where } x_2''(i)=x_2(i)+x_1(i)x_2'(i) \text{ and } y_2''(i)=y_2(i)+y_1(i)y_2'(i),\ \text{and}$$

$$E\left(e_0+y_2(i)e_2\right)\oplus E\left(e_1+y_2'(i)e_2\right)=E\left(x_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0+h_{31}(i)e_2\right)\oplus E\left(x_2'(i)h_{13}(i)e_0+h_{22}(i)e_1\right).\tag{1.27}$$

Equation (1.26) is equivalent to $x_1(i)h_{22}(i)e_1+h_{31}(i)e_2=y_1(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)e_1+y_2''(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)e_2$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}x_1(i)h_{22}(i)&=y_1(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i),\\h_{31}(i)&=y_2''(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i).\end{aligned}$$

Equation (1.27) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&x_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{31}(i)e_2 = \lambda_1 e_0 + \lambda_1 y_2(i)e_2,\\&x'_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{22}(i)e_1 = \lambda_2 e_0 + \mu_2 e_1 + \left(\mu_2 y'_2(i) + \lambda_2 y_2(i)\right) e_2\end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in E$. So, $h_{31}(i) = x_2(i)y_2(i)h_{13}(i),\ y'_2(i)h_{22}(i) = -x'_2(i)y_2(i)h_{13}(i),\ x_1(i)h_{22}(i) = y_1(i)x''_2(i)h_{13}(i),\ h_{31}(i) = y''_2(i)x''_2(i)h_{13}(i)$

and we must have $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = y''_2(i) = x''_2(i) = 1,\ y'_2(i)h_{22}(i) = -x'_2(i)y_2(i)h_{13}(i),\ h_{31}(i) = h_{13}(i)$, and $x_1(i)h_{22}(i) = y_1(i)h_{13}(i)$ *(i)* $x'_2(i) = 1$ then $y'_2(i) = 1$ and $h_{22}(i) = -h_{13}(i),\ h_{31}(i) = h_{13}(i),\ x_1(i)h_{22}(i) = y_1(i)\left(x_1(i)+1\right)h_{13}(i)$, and $1 = \left(y_1(i)+1\right)\left(x_1(i)+1\right).$ *(ia)* $x_1(i) \neq 0$. Then $x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 1$ and we need $y_1(i)\left(x_1(i)+1\right)h_{13}(i) = -x_1(i)h_{13}(i)$ which holds because $1 = \left(y_1(i)+1\right)\left(x_1(i)+1\right).$ Then $h\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1,\ x_1(i)y_1(i) \neq 0,\ \text{and}\ 1 = \left(y_1(i)+1\right)\left(x_1(i)+1\right).$$

(ib) If $x_1(i) = 0$. Then $x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = y_2(i) = x_2(i) = 1$ and $h_{22}(i) = -h_{13}(i),\ h_{31}(i) = h_{13}(i)$. Then $h\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$x_1(i) = y_1(i) = 0,\ x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1.$$

(ii) If $x'_2(i) = 0 = y'_2(i)$, then $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1$ and $x_1(i)h_{22}(i) = y_1(i)h_{13}(i),\ h_{31}(i) = h_{13}(i)$.

(iia) If $x_1(i) = 0$. Then $x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 0$, $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1,\ y_1(i)h_{13}(i) = 0$ and $h_{31}(i) = h_{13}(i)$. Then $h\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$x_1(i) = y_1(i) = x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 0,\ \text{and}\ x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1.$$

(iib) If $x_1(i) \neq 0$, then we must have $x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 0,\ x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1,\ x_1(i)h_{22}(i) = y_1(i)h_{13}(i)$ and $y_1(i) \neq 0$. Then $h\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$x'_2(i) = y'_2(i) = 0,\ x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1,\ \text{and}\ x_1(i)y_1(i) \neq 0.$$

(b) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_1)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(e_1 + y'_2(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{31}(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(x'_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{22}(i)e_1\right)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&x_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{31}(i)e_2 = \lambda_1 e_0 + \lambda_1 y_2(i)e_2,\\&x'_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{22}(i)e_1 = \lambda_2 e_0 + \mu_2 e_1 + \left(\mu_2 y'_2(i) + \lambda_2 y_2(i)\right) e_2\end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in E$. Then $y_2(i)x_2(i)h_{13}(i) = h_{31}(i)$ and $h_{22}(i)y'_2(i) + x'_2(i)h_{13}(i)y_2(i) = 0$. Hence $h\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $y_2(i) = x_2(i) = 1$ and $y'_2(i) = x'_2(i)$.

(c) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_2$). Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_1(i)e_1 + y''_2(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x''_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + x_1(i)h_{22}(i)e_1 + h_{31}(i)e_2\right)$$

which is equivalent to $x_1(i)h_{22}(i) = y_1(i)x''_2(i)h_{13}(i)$, and $h_{31}(i) = y''_2(i)x''_2(i)h_{13}(i)$. Hence

$$h\left(\mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Fil}^j e_{\tau_i}\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$$

if and only if $y_2''(i) = x_2''(i) = 1$ and $y_1(i) = x_1(i)$.

(6) If $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}_1)$, $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a}_1)$. Then

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} & \vec{0} & \vec{h}_{13} \\ \vec{h}_{21} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{h}_{32} & \vec{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(he_0, he_1, he_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{h}_{21}e_1, & \vec{h}_{32}e_2, & \vec{h}_{13}e_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_0)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_1(i)e_1 + y_2''(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{21}(i)e_1 + x_1(i)h_{32}(i)e_2\right), \tag{1.28}$$

$$\text{where } x_2''(i) = x_2(i) + x_1(i)x_2'(i) \text{ and } y_2''(i) = y_2(i) + y_1(i)y_2'(i), \text{ and}$$

$$E\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(e_1 + y_2'(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{21}(i)e_1\right) \oplus E\left(x_2'(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{32}(i)e_2\right). \tag{1.29}$$

Equation (1.31) is equivalent to $h_{21}(i)e_1 + x_1(i)h_{32}(i)e_2 = y_1(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)e_1 + y_2''(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)e_2$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} h_{21}(i) &= y_1(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i) \\ x_1(i)h_{32}(i) &= y_2''(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i) \end{aligned}$$

and equation (1.29) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} x_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{21}(i)e_1 &= \lambda_1 e_0 + \mu_1 e_1 + \left(\lambda_1 y_2(i) + \mu_1 y_2'(i)\right)e_2 \\ x_2'(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{32}(i)e_2 &= \lambda_2 e_0 + \lambda_2 y_2(i)e_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in E$. We have $h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)$, $x_1(i)h_{32}(i) = y_2''(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)$, $x_2(i)y_2(i)h_{13}(i) + y_2'(i)h_{21}(i) = 0$, and $x_2'(i)y_2(i)h_{13}(i) = h_{32}(i)$. Then $x_2'(i) = x_2''(i) = y_2(i) = 1$ and $h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)h_{13}(i)$, $h_{32}(i) = h_{13}(i)$, $x_1(i)h_{32}(i) = y_2''(i)\left(x_1(i) + x_2(i)\right)h_{13}(i)$, $x_2(i)y_2(i)h_{13}(i) + y_2'(i)h_{21}(i) = 0$.

(i) If $y_2'(i) = x_2(i) = 0$, then we must have $x_2'(i) = y_1(i) = y_2(i) = 1$, $x_1(i)y_1(i) \neq 0$, $h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)$, and $h_{32}(i) = y_2(i)h_{13}(i)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ if and only if

$$x_2'(i) = y_2(i) = 1, \; y_2'(i) = x_2(i) = 0, \; x_1(i)y_1(i) \neq 0.$$

(ii) If $y_2'(i) = x_2'(i) = 1$. Thenwe must have $x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1$, $y_1(i)\left(x_1(i) + 1\right) + 1 = 0$, $h_{21}(i) = -h_{13}(i)$, and $h_{32}(i) = h_{13}(i)$. Hence $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ if and only if

$$x_2'(i) = y_2'(i) = x_2(i) = y_2(i) = 1, \text{ and } y_1(i)\left(x_1(i) + 1\right) + 1 = 0.$$

(b) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula $(\mathcal{F}_1)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a}, \underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_2(i)e_2\right) \oplus E\left(e_1 + y_2'(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{21}(i)e_1\right) \oplus E\left(x_2'(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{32}(i)e_2\right)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}x_2(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{21}(i)e_1 &= \lambda_1 e_0 + \mu_1 e_1 + \left(\lambda_1 y_2(i) + \mu_1 y_2'(i)\right)e_2\\ x_2'(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{32}(i)e_2 &= \lambda_2 e_0 + \lambda_2 y_2(i)e_2\end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in E$. We must have $y_2(i)x_2(i)h_{13}(i) + h_{21}(i)y_2'(i) = 0$ and $y_2(i)x_2'(i)h_{13}(i) = h_{32}(i)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $y_2(i) = x_2'(i) = 1$ and $x_2(i) = y_2'(i)$.

(c) If the filtration of $e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)$ is given by formula ($\mathcal{F}_2$). Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$E\left(e_0 + y_1(i)e_1 + y_2''(i)e_2\right) = E\left(x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)e_0 + h_{21}(i)e_1 + x_1(i)h_{32}(i)e_2\right)$$

which is equivalent to $h_{21}(i) = y_1(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)$, and $x_1(i)h_{32}(i) = y_2''(i)x_2''(i)h_{13}(i)$. Then $h\left(e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{x}\right)\right) = e_{\tau_i}\mathrm{Fil}^j\mathcal{D}\left(\underline{a},\underline{y}\right)$ if and only if $y_1(i) = x_2''(i) = 1$ and $x_1(i) = y_2''(i)$. ■

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Let (D,φ,N) be a filtered (φ,N)-module and let (D,φ) be as in Proposition 1.1. Let $\underline{e}$ be an ordered basis such that $\mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}(\varphi) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\vec{a},\vec{b},\vec{c}\right)$. Let $A := [N]_{\underline{e}} = (\vec{a}_{ij})$. Since $N\varphi = p\varphi N$, we have $AP = pP\varphi(A)$, where $P := \mathrm{Mat}_{\underline{e}}(\varphi)$. Hence

$$\vec{a}\cdot\vec{a}_{11} = p\cdot\vec{a}\cdot\varphi(\vec{a}_{11}),\ \vec{b}\cdot\vec{a}_{12} = p\cdot\vec{a}\cdot\varphi(\vec{a}_{12}),\ \vec{c}\cdot\vec{a}_{13} = p\cdot\vec{a}\cdot\varphi(\vec{a}_{13}), \tag{1.30}$$

$$\vec{a}\cdot\vec{a}_{21} = p\cdot\vec{b}\cdot\varphi(\vec{a}_{21}),\ \vec{b}\cdot\vec{a}_{22} = p\cdot\vec{b}\cdot\varphi(\vec{a}_{22}),\ \vec{c}\cdot\vec{a}_{23} = p\cdot\vec{b}\cdot\varphi(\vec{a}_{23}), \tag{1.31}$$

$$\vec{a}\cdot\vec{a}_{31} = p\cdot\vec{c}\cdot\varphi(\vec{a}_{31}),\ \vec{b}\cdot\vec{a}_{32} = p\cdot\vec{c}\cdot\varphi(\vec{a}_{32}),\ \vec{c}\cdot\vec{a}_{33} = p\cdot\vec{c}\cdot\varphi(\vec{a}_{33}) \tag{1.32}$$

for all $i = 1,2,3$. Since the coordinates of the vectors $\vec{a},\vec{b},\vec{c}$ are nonzero, equations (1.30), (1.31), (1.32) imply that either all the coordinates of the vectors $\vec{a}_{ij}$ are nonzero or $\vec{a}_{ij} = \vec{0}$, for any $i,j \in \{1,2,3\}$. We need the following lemma whose proof is straightforward. ■

Lemma 1.6 *Let* $\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta} \in \left(E^\times\right)^{|\tau|}$. *The equation* $\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\gamma} = \vec{\beta}\cdot\varphi(\vec{\gamma})$ *has nonzero solutions* $\vec{\gamma} \in E^{|\tau|}$ *if and only if* $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{\alpha}) = \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{\beta})$. *In this case, all the solutions are* $\vec{\gamma} = \gamma\left(1, \frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0}, \frac{\alpha_0\alpha_1}{\beta_0\beta_1}, ..., \frac{\alpha_0\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{f-2}}{\beta_0\beta_1\cdots\beta_{f-2}}\right)$ *for any* $\gamma \in E$.

Proof. Since $N\varphi^f = p^f\varphi^f N$, we have $A\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(P) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(P)A$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})\cdot\vec{a}_{11} = p^f\cdot\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})\cdot\vec{a}_{11},\ \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\cdot\vec{a}_{12} = p^f\cdot\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})\cdot\vec{a}_{12},\ \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\cdot\vec{a}_{13} = p^f\cdot\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})\cdot\vec{a}_{13},\\ &\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})\cdot\vec{a}_{21} = p^f\cdot\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\cdot\vec{a}_{21},\ \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\cdot\vec{a}_{22} = p^f\cdot\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\cdot\vec{a}_{22},\ \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\cdot\vec{a}_{23} = p^f\cdot\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\cdot\vec{a}_{23},\\ &\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})\cdot\vec{a}_{31} = p^f\cdot\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\cdot\vec{a}_{31},\ \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b})\cdot\vec{a}_{32} = p^f\cdot\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\cdot\vec{a}_{32},\ \mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\cdot\vec{a}_{33} = p^f\cdot\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{c})\cdot\vec{a}_{33}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the eigenvalues of frobenius are distinct, $\vec{a}_{11} = \vec{a}_{22} = \vec{a}_{33} = \vec{0}$. Moreover, if $\vec{a}_{ij} \neq \vec{0}$ then $\vec{a}_{ji} = \vec{0}$ for all i,j. We show that at most two entries of $[N]_{\underline{e}}$ are nonzero and give their precise formulas.

(i) If $\vec{a}_{12} \neq \vec{0}$, then $\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{b}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_\varphi(\vec{a})$ and the equation $\vec{b}\cdot\vec{a}_{12} = p\cdot\vec{a}\cdot\varphi(\vec{a}_{12})$ and Lemma 1.6 imply that

$$\vec{a}_{12} = a_{12}\left(1, \frac{b(0)}{pa(0)}, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)}{p^2a(0)\,a(1)}, \cdots, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}{p^{f-1}a(0)\,a(1)\cdots a(f-2)}\right)$$

for some $a_{12} \in E^{\times}$. If $\vec{a}_{13} \neq \vec{0}$, then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a})$ a contradiction since the eigenvalues of frobenius are distinct. Hence $\vec{a}_{13} = \vec{0}$, and similarly $\vec{a}_{32} = \vec{0}$ and $\vec{a}_{21} = \vec{0}$.

(ia) If $\vec{a}_{31} \neq \vec{0}$, then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})$ and the equation $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{a}_{31} = p \cdot \vec{c} \cdot \varphi(\vec{a}_{31})$ and Lemma 1.6 imply that

$$\vec{a}_{31} = a_{31}\left(1, \frac{a(0)}{pc(0)}, \frac{a(0)\,\alpha(1)}{p^2c(0)\,c(1)}, \cdots, \frac{a(0)\,\alpha(1)\cdots a(f-2)}{p^{f-1}c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}\right)$$

for some $a_{31} \in E^{\times}$.

(ib) If $\vec{a}_{23} \neq \vec{0}$, then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b})$ and and the equation $\vec{c} \cdot \vec{a}_{23} = p \cdot \vec{b} \cdot \varphi(\vec{a}_{23})$ and Lemma 1.6 imply that

$$\vec{a}_{23} = a_{23}\left(1, \frac{c(0)}{pb(0)}, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)}{p^2b(0)\,b(1)}, \cdots, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}{p^{f-1}b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}\right)$$

for some $a_{23} \in E^{\times}$. Notice that at most one of the $\vec{a}_{31}$ and $\vec{a}_{23}$ can be nonzero. Hence

$$[N]_{\underline{e}} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{12} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{23} \\ \vec{a}_{31} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{a}_{12} &= a_{12}\left(1, \frac{b(0)}{pa(0)}, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)}{p^2a(0)\,a(1)}, \cdots, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}{p^{f-1}a(0)\,a(1)\cdots a(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{12} \in E,\\
\vec{a}_{31} &= a_{31}\left(1, \frac{a(0)}{pc(0)}, \frac{a(0)\,\alpha(1)}{p^2c(0)\,c(1)}, \cdots, \frac{a(0)\,\alpha(1)\cdots a(f-2)}{p^{f-1}c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{31} \in E,\\
\vec{a}_{23} &= a_{23}\left(1, \frac{c(0)}{pb(0)}, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)}{p^2b(0)\,b(1)}, \cdots, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}{p^{f-1}b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{23} \in E.
\end{aligned}$$

If $a_{12} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a})$, if $a_{31} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})$ and if $a_{23} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}) = p^f\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b})$ and at most two of the entries of the matrix of $[N]_{\underline{e}}$ are nonzero. Arguing similarly for the remaining possibilities we see that $[N]_{\underline{e}}$ has one of the following shapes:

(i)

$$[N]_{\underline{e}} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{12} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{23} \\ \vec{a}_{31} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{a}_{12} &= a_{12}\left(1, \frac{b(0)}{pa(0)}, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)}{p^2a(0)\,a(1)}, \cdots, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}{p^{f-1}a(0)\,a(1)\cdots a(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{12} \in E,\\
\vec{a}_{31} &= a_{31}\left(1, \frac{a(0)}{pc(0)}, \frac{a(0)\,\alpha(1)}{p^2c(0)\,c(1)}, \cdots, \frac{a(0)\,\alpha(1)\cdots a(f-2)}{p^{f-1}c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{31} \in E,\\
\vec{a}_{23} &= a_{23}\left(1, \frac{c(0)}{pb(0)}, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)}{p^2b(0)\,b(1)}, \cdots, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}{p^{f-1}b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{23} \in E.
\end{aligned}$$

If $a_{12} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}) = p^f \mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a})$, if $a_{31} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}) = p^f \mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})$ and if $a_{23} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}) = p^f \mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b})$. At most two of the entries of the matrix of $[N]_{\underline{e}}$ are nonzero.

(ii)

$$[N]_{\underline{e}} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} & \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{13} \\ \vec{a}_{21} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{32} & \vec{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{a}_{13} &= a_{13}\left(1, \frac{c(0)}{pa(0)}, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)}{p^2 a(0)\,a(1)}, \cdots, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}{p^{f-1}a(0)\,a(1)\cdots a(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{13} \in E, \\
\vec{a}_{21} &= a_{21}\left(1, \frac{a(0)}{pb(0)}, \frac{a(0)\,a(1)}{p^2 b(0)\,b(1)}, \cdots, \frac{a(0)\,a(1)\cdots a(f-2)}{p^{f-1}b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{21} \in E, \\
\vec{a}_{32} &= a_{32}\left(1, \frac{b(0)}{pc(0)}, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)}{p^2 c(0)\,c(1)}, \cdots, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}{p^{f-1}c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{32} \in E.
\end{aligned}$$

If $a_{13} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}) = p^f \mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a})$, if $a_{21} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}) = p^f \mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b})$ and if $a_{32} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}) = p^f \mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})$. At most two of the entries of the matrix of $[N]_{\underline{e}}$ are nonzero.

(iii)

$$[N]_{\underline{e}} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{12} & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{0} & \vec{a}_{23} \\ \vec{a}_{31} & \vec{0} & \vec{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{a}_{12} &= a_{12}\left(1, \frac{b(0)}{pa(0)}, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)}{p^2 a(0)\,a(1)}, \cdots, \frac{b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}{p^{f-1}a(0)\,a(1)\cdots a(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{12} \in E, \\
\vec{a}_{23} &= a_{23}\left(1, \frac{c(0)}{pb(0)}, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)}{p^2 b(0)\,b(1)}, \cdots, \frac{c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}{p^{f-1}b(0)\,b(1)\cdots b(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{23} \in E, \\
\vec{a}_{31} &= a_{31}\left(1, \frac{a(0)}{pc(0)}, \frac{a(0)\,a(1)}{p^2 c(0)\,c(1)}, \cdots, \frac{a(0)\,a(1)\cdots a(f-2)}{p^{f-1}c(0)\,c(1)\cdots c(f-2)}\right) \text{ for some } a_{31} \in E.
\end{aligned}$$

If $a_{12} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b}) = p^f \mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a})$, if $a_{23} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c}) = p^f \mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{b})$ and if $a_{31} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{a}) = p^f \mathrm{Nm}_{\varphi}(\vec{c})$. At most two of the entries of the matrix of $[N]_{\underline{e}}$ are nonzero. ■

References

[1] G. Dousmanis, Rank two filtered (φ, N)-modules with Galois descent data and coefficients, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 362, N. 7, July 2010, pp. 3883-3910.